

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pat int and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATIO	LICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		NTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/	157,01	.8 09/18	/98 KILGORE		B	MS-80
□ 027€	3 6 2			\neg	EXAMINER	
LYON	N, HAF	R & DEFRA			LUU,S	
		NADE DRIV 193030	E, SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	11120 - 1211	, ,,,,,,,,			2173	14
					DATE MAILED:	•
						06/04/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

a

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/157,018	KILGORE, BENJAMIN				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
nd was	Sy D Luu	2173				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she t with the co	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check only a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR of whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period mailing date of the final rejection. 	on months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (continues to run from the mailing date of the od for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS	e final rejection, I from the				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon with requisite fees.	the timely submission of a Notic	ce of Appeal and Appeal Brief				
3.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);						
(c)	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the				
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s)	is as follows (see attached writte	en explanation, if any):				
Claim(s) allowed: NONE						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
11. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					
	•					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues being: the processing of the results continues—ven aft if the communications interface between the server and client has been terminated as recited in claims 1, 2, and 13.

Continuation of 11. Other: The application number 09/156766, which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is not properly identified in the terminal disclaimer.

RAYMOND J. BAYERL. PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173